Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
For CDRU # 1280 Case file # 95--HQ-1043498 .

Material Examiner: Malone (RQ)

Remarks:

Case resulted in trial, transcript not available.

# INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson

Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fibers

Review commenced at: 3:45 PM (Time), 11/06/01 (Date)

File #: 95A-HQ-1043498

Laboratory #(s): 30111037
30115001

## Examiner(s) & Symbols

| | Reviewed | Not Reviewed | | Reviewed | Not Reviewed |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| RQ | X☐ | ☐ | | ☐ | ☐ |
| YT | ☐ | X☐ | | ☐ | ☐ |
| | ☐ | ☐ | | ☐ | ☐ |

## Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: not available

Testimony Date(s): Pages:

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 30111037, 30115001 Date: May 27, 1993
Laboratory Number: Date:
Laboratory Number: Date:

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ and unknown technicians
Laboratory Number: 30111037
30115001



Initials:

CRM - 13305

Was any other material reviewed? X☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: submitting agency letters dated 1-6-93 and 1-14-93

## Results of Review

File #: 95A-HQ-1043498 Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q1-Q21, K1

### Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

**Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses**

1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available **at the time of the original examination(s)**?
☐ Yes ☐ No X ☐ Unable to Determine

2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes? ☐ Yes X☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine

### Review of Testimony:

**Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses**

X☐ Transcript not available.

3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine

4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine

5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine



Initials: SMW

## Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95A-HQ-1043498

#1: With microscopic hair comparison, one cannot determine from the notes that the examination was conducted in an appropriate manner.

#2: Documentation is poor. The notes are not dated or initialed and are in pencil and not ink. The technician handling evidence on #30115001 did not document the recovery of hair from the Q items as stated in the report.

Review completed at: 4:00 PM (Time), 11/06/01 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.25 hr.

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.

Steve Schuster — 11/06/2001

(Signature) (Date)



Initials: SLS